Tue 5/14/2019 1:01 PM

DP

David Prohaska <[redacted]>

RE: Section 109 question

To: stephanie.pino[redacted]

Cc: Kevin Jones

This message is part of a tracked conversation. Click here to find all related messages or to open the original flagged message.

From: stephanie.pino[redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, May 14, 2019 9:40 AM
To: David Prohaska <[redacted]>
Cc: Kevin Jones <[redacted]>
Subject: RE: Section 109 question
Importance: High

Good morning,

if what you stated is fact of the time limit on receiving information on a Hearing being 15 days from receipt of letter then our 15 days have exceed that and the charges should be dropped.

Also, when we received our new and improved charges via certified mail the Original Accuser being Roy Grona and the New Accuser being Dan West as well as the new and improved charges being different from Original Charges I'd like answers on how this is possible. You do understand that in a court of law this kind of stuff would be thrown out and possibly considered Harassment as well as bullying and defamation. I am sure that this is not the case here at all but someone may went to look into this and make sure that everything is going according to National bylaws per the holy grail of the VFW. I would also like to inform you that the Pleasanton City Police Department has a packet of the original charges and have questioned Steve and I about these on some wild ass suggestion of Criminal intent however they do NOT have a new and improved Version of anything which again could be considered harassment bullying and defaming persons of the community by The Texas Department VFW again a court of law will not look favorably upon the treatment that Steve and I have received from the Department of Texas Officers Accusers and now our new accuser which was on the investigative committee hummm Seems a bit swayed to me.
We cannot wait for the outcome of all of this. All of this has made the City of Pleasanton and Jourdanton question our Commitment to our community and has placed doubt upon Us, a huge defamation of character.
It is my belief that all of this could end by someone making a judgement call and ending it before it goes any further than it has. We are exhausted from all of this.

1. One cannot have an accuser then when procedures are followed correctly the Accuser change
2. One cannot be charged with charges and then when procedures are followed correctly the Charges change
3. One cannot send criminal incident reports to a police department then when procedures are followed correctly accuser and charges have changed and yet the Police Dept in the said person with charges home town not notified of these incompetent mistakes

Steve and I have been very patient with The Department of Texas VFW and the National has been nothing but very helpful

This is clearly a case of harassment defamation and bullying. It is unfair the treatment that 2 people who made a post from nothing to the # 1 Post in the World should ever have to endure and this is why the VFW is not for the Younger generation.

I hope to get some clarification today via email with where it is in the Bylaws, whether or not procedures have been followed correctly time frame and questions answered. How much longer do we have to endure this behavior from Dan West Inga Conley and John Shipley.